

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/056,942	01/25/2002	H. Brock Kolls	BK-020-05	5036	
7590 06/13/2006		EXAMINER			
Benjamin E Leace			MANCHO, RONNIE M		
RatnerPrestia P O Box 980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980			3663		
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an App al Brief

Application N .	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/056,942	KOLLS, H. BROCK	KOLLS, H. BROCK		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Ronnie Mancho	3663			

	Ronnie Mancho	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cov r sheet with	the corresp ndence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment office of Appeal (with appeal feet	ce of Appeal. To avoid about, affidavit, or other evide e) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the r	nailing date of the final rejec	tion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	706.07(f).		
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	nount of the fee. The approp y originally set in the final O	oriate extension fee ffice action; or (2) a
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of the appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	d within the time period set forth	11 11 37 Of K 41.37(a).	
3. 🗵 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		e NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		II	Ala a :
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materia	ily reducing or simplifying	g the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of final	ly rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of No	n-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	:):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed amendn	nent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>23-29, 35</u> .] will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a ry and was not earlier presente	appeal and/or appellant f d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims af	fter entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the applicat	tion in condition for allow	ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stat⁻ment(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pa	per No(s)	
13. Other:			7
	- 1 PM	JACK KEITH	AMINER
	SUPI	ERVISORY PATEMPE	As states a see,

' C ntinuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Applicati n No. 10/056,942

Continuation of 3. NOTE: applicant has amended the claims with new limitations such as "displaying, within said vehicle, said determinedvehicle services; selecting, by a user, from within said vehicle, one or more of said displayedvehicle services". The amendments and newly raised arguments require further consideration and search extended beyond the courtesy applied to an after final amendment.